Bayesian inference

How to compute \hat{x} ?

 $P(x|y) \propto P(y|x) P(x)$

$$
-\log P(x|y) = \frac{(y-x)^2}{2\sigma_n^2} + \frac{(x-\mu_x)^2}{2\sigma_x^2} + \text{const.}
$$

$$
-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\log P(x|y) = -\frac{(y-x)}{\sigma_n^2} + \frac{(x-\mu_x)}{\sigma_x^2} = 0
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \left| \hat{x} = \frac{\sigma_x^2 y + \sigma_n^2 \mu_x}{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_n^2} \right|
$$

Wiener filter

NOISE REMOVAL VIA BAYESIAN WAVELET CORING

Eero P. Simoncelli

Computer and Information Science Dept. University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, PA 19104

Edward H. Adelson

Brain and Cognitive Science Dept. Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, MA 02139

original image image the statistic statistic statistic statistic statistic statistic statistic statistic stati

NOISE REMOVAL VIA BAYESIAN WAVELET CORING

Eero P. Simoncelli

Edward H. Adelson

techniques is widespread: for example, most consumer

Figure Histograms of a mid-frequency subband in an **octave-bandwidth wavelet decomposition** for two different images. Left: The Einstein" image.
Big the three noise images with uniform ndf Right: A white noise image with uniform pdf.

mal at roughly one of \mathcal{L} rower bandwidths produce kurtoses near 3 (i.e., Gaus-

 \mathcal{L} image. To gauge the quality of our model pdf \mathcal{L}

 \overline{a} and idealized the idealized th

 \overline{u} y sian statistics). Several authors have used Laplacian $m \neq m$ $y = x + n$ $w = d$ \overline{a} ω $\overline{}$

$$
y = x + n
$$

$$
P(x) = \frac{1}{Z_s} e^{-\left|\frac{x}{s}\right|^p}
$$

$$
P(x|y) \propto P(y|x) P(x)
$$

original image values original image the set of the set o

 \mathcal{L} . Note that the duction example. (a) Original image contains \mathcal{L} Wiener filter wavelet coring have a zero-mean a zero-mean a zero-mean distribution wavelet correlated by \sim

Sparse coding model

Inference:

\n
$$
P(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{I}; \mathbf{\Phi}) \propto P(\mathbf{I}|\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{\Phi}) P(\mathbf{a})
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\mathbf{a}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{a}} |\mathbf{I} - \Phi \mathbf{a}|^{2} + \lambda \sum_{i} C(a_{i})
$$
\nLearning:

\n
$$
\hat{\Phi} = \arg\max_{\mathbf{\Phi}} \langle \log P(\mathbf{I}|\mathbf{\Phi}) \rangle
$$
\n
$$
P(\mathbf{I}|\mathbf{\Phi}) = \int P(\mathbf{I}|\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{\Phi}) P(\mathbf{a}) d\mathbf{a}
$$

Sparse coding energy function

Sparse coding model

$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}\,\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{n}$

$$
p(\mathbf{x}) = \int p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{s}) p_s(\mathbf{s}) d\mathbf{s}
$$

$$
p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{s}) \propto e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}|\mathbf{s}|^2}{2\sigma_n^2}}
$$

$$
p_s(\mathbf{s}) \propto e^{-\sum_i C(s_i)}
$$

Objective for learning

 $\langle \log p(\mathbf{x}) \rangle$

Gradient ascent yields:

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\Delta \mathbf{A} & \propto & \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{A}} \left\langle \log p(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle \\
& = & \left\langle \int [\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{s}] \, \mathbf{s}^T \, p(\mathbf{s} | \mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{s} \right\rangle\n\end{array}
$$

Inference

$$
\hat{\mathbf{s}} = \arg \max_{\mathbf{s}} p(\mathbf{s}|\mathbf{x}) \n= \arg \min_{\mathbf{s}} -\log p(\mathbf{s}|\mathbf{x}) \n= \arg \min_{\mathbf{s}} \left[\frac{\lambda_n}{2} |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{s}|^2 + \sum_{i} C(s_i) \right]
$$

Gradient descent yields:

$$
\dot{\mathbf{s}} \propto \lambda_n \left[\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{G} \,\mathbf{s}\right] - \mathbf{z}(\mathbf{s})
$$

where
$$
\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{x}
$$
, $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$, $z_i = C'(s_i)$

Approximate learning rule

Instead of

$$
\Delta \mathbf{A} \propto \left\langle \int [\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{s}] \, \mathbf{s}^T \, p(\mathbf{s} | \mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{s} \right\rangle
$$

Use

$$
\Delta \mathbf{A} \propto \left\langle \left[\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A} \, \hat{\mathbf{s}} \right] \hat{\mathbf{s}}^T \right\rangle
$$

Special case

• No noise

$$
\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}\,\mathbf{s}
$$

• Invertible **A** matrix

$$
\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{x}
$$

Special case

 $p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{s}) = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}\,\mathbf{s})$ Thus

$$
p(\mathbf{x}) = \int \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{s}) \, p_s(\mathbf{s}) \, d\mathbf{s}
$$

$$
= p_s(\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{x}) / |\det \mathbf{A}|
$$

$$
\log p(\mathbf{x}) = -\sum_{i} C(s_i) - \log \det \mathbf{A}
$$

Pre-multiplying by $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T$ (natural gradient) yields:

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\Delta \mathbf{A} & \propto & \langle \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{z} \, \mathbf{s}^T - \mathbf{A} \rangle \\
& = & \langle \left[\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{z}) \right] \mathbf{s}^T - \mathbf{A} \rangle\n\end{array}
$$

The "Independent Components" of Natural Scenes are Edge Filters

ANTHONY **J.** BELL,*t TERRENCE **J.** SEJNOWSKI* *Received 16 July 1996; in revised form 9 April 1997* $\begin{array}{ccc} \circ & 4 & 0.1 & 10 & 0.7 \\ \circ & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.0 & 0.7 \\ \circ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \circ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \circ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \circ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \circ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \circ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \circ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \circ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \circ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \circ & 0 &$

First-order Markov process ('Kalman filter')

Linear generative model:

$$
\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \mathbf{w}_{t-1}
$$

$$
\mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{H} \mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{n}_t
$$

Prediction:

