AN ENTROPY-BASED UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE FOR A LOCALLY COMPACT ABELIAN GROUP

Murad Özaydin and Tomasz Przebinda

Department of Mathematics, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73019, USA

ABSTRACT. We classify all functions on a locally compact, abelian, compactly generated group giving equality in an entropy inequality generalizing the Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle.

0. Introduction and Background.

The Heisenberg inequality essentially states that a function (of a real variable) and its Fourier transform cannot both be arbitrarily concentrated. However there is no straightforward analog of the Heisenberg inequality even for functions defined on a finite cyclic group (one problem is that the "position operator" does not make sense in this case). One way around this problem is to consider the L^p norms of a function, for 1 (see [B1], [B2], [L] and their references for a sample of the extensive literature in this direction). More to the point is via information theory, where the definitive measure of the concentration of a (probability density) function is entropy [S].

The entropy approach in the continuous case goes back to Hirschman, who in 1957 proved that the sum of entropies of a function f of a real variable, with $||f||_2 = 1$, and its Fourier transform is nonnegative [Hi]. He also observed that Weyl's formulation of the Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle is a consequence of a stronger version of this inequality, namely

$$(0.1) \qquad \qquad -\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 log(|f(x)|^2) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 log(|\hat{f}(\xi)|^2) \, d\xi \, \geq \, log(\frac{e}{2}),$$

where the log stands for the natural logarithm with base $e, f \in S(\mathbb{R})$, the Schwartz space (see [H1]) and $||f||_2 = 1$. This was proven by Beckner in 1975, [B1].

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification, primary: 43A25, secondary: 94A17

Typeset by A_MS -T_FX

In [Hi], Hirschman also conjectured that the minimizers for the sharp inequality (0.1) were Gaussians, as is the case for the Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle. The special case of our main theorem (1.5) below, where the group A is chosen to be the additive group of real numbers, verifies this conjecture. The related problem of finding all the maximizers realizing the norm of the Fourier transform viewed as an operator from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was solved by Lieb (see [L] and the references there).

There is an analog of (0.1) for functions f defined on a finite cyclic group, proved in [D-C-T], with applications in Signal Processing. The minimizers for this finite case were determined in [P-D-O] (verifying the conjecture in [D-O-P]). This corresponds to choosing a finite cyclic group as A in our main theorem (1.5). These minimizers depend on the factorization of the order of the finite cyclic group and are not "Gaussians" or discretized Gaussians. This discrepancy between the finite and the continuous case seems to be unexpected in the Signal Processing community. In fact the main motivation for our result was to certify this fundamental difference.

Another Uncertainty Principle in the finite case, due to Donoho and Stark, [D-S], states that the product of the cardinalities of the support of a function (defined on a finite cyclic group) and the support of its Fourier transform is no less than the order of the group. This inequality follows from the Heisenberg - Weyl (entropy) version, [D-C-T]. It turns out that the minimizers for both versions are exactly the same functions, [P-D-O]. The main theorem below classifies the minimizers of the entropy inequality in the multidimensional case where the finite cyclic group may be replaced by an arbitrary finite abelian group. We shall provide an elementary proof of the Donoho-Stark inequality and of the fact that the minimizers are the same as for the entropy inequality (for an arbitrary finite abelian group), in [M-Ö-P].

We believe that working with an arbitrary locally compact, abelian, compactly generated group A, which includes the continuous case $A = \mathbb{R}^n$ and the multidimensional finite case as well as many other cases (e.g. p-adic numbers, adeles [W], etc.), is not superfluous generality. This generality not only led to a simplification and clarification of our arguments but we feel it will be relevant even for future applications in Signal Processing.

We would like to thank Waldemar Hebisch for the reference [B1], and William Beckner for the reference [L].

1. The Main Theorem.

Let A be a locally compact abelian group. As was explained to the second author by Michael Cowling, a result of Ahern and Jeweet [A-J], together with [H-R, 9.8], imply that A is isomorphic to the direct product of a finite number of copies of \mathbb{R} (the reals) and an abelian locally compact group B which contains an open compact subgroup:

$$(1.1) A = \mathbb{R}^n \times B.$$

Let \hat{A} be the Pontriagin dual of A. Then $\hat{A} = R^n \times \hat{B}$, where \hat{B} also contains an open compact subgroup. Let α be a Haar measure on A and let $\hat{\alpha}$ be the Haar measure on \hat{A} , dual to α , so that the Fourier transform and the inverse Fourier transform are given by the following formulas

(1.2)
$$\hat{f}(\hat{a}) = \int_{A} f(a) \, \hat{a}(-a) \, d\alpha(a) \qquad (\hat{a} \in \hat{A}),$$
$$f(a) = \int_{A} \hat{f}(\hat{a}) \, \hat{a}(a) \, d\hat{\alpha}(\hat{a}) \qquad (a \in A),$$

whenever both integrals make sense.

Let $G \subseteq U(L^2(A, \alpha))$ be the (Heisenberg) group generated by the translations and the modulations:

(1.3)
$$T_{a_0}f(a) = f(a+a_0), \ M_{\hat{a}_0}f(a) = \hat{a}_0(a)f(a),$$

$$(f \in L^2(A,\alpha); \ a_0, a \in A; \ \hat{a}_0 \in \hat{A}),$$

and by multiplications by complex numbers of absolute value 1. Recall the notion of entropy of a probability density ϕ on a measure space (M, μ) :

(1.4)
$$H(\phi) = -\int_{M} \phi(m) \log(\phi(m)) d\mu(m),$$

which is well defined whenever the integral (1.4) is absolutely convergent, (see [S]). Here the log stands for the (natural) logarithm with base e.

Theorem 1.5. For any function $f \in L^2(A, \alpha)$, with $||f||_2 = 1$, satisfying

(*)
$$f \in L^1(A, \alpha) \text{ and } \hat{f} \in L^1(\hat{A}, \hat{\alpha})$$

the following inequality holds

(a)
$$H(|f|^2) + H(|\hat{f}|^2) \ge n \log(\frac{e}{2}),$$

where 'n' is defined in (1.1). The set of minimizers for (a) coincides with the union of orbits

(b)
$$G \cdot f$$
,

where, according to the decomposition (1.1), f = g - h, g is a normalized Gaussian on \mathbb{R}^n and h is the normalized indicator function of a subgroup of B.

Here by a Gaussian on \mathbb{R}^n we understand a function of the form $g(x) = const \, e^{-Q(x)}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where Q is a positive definite quadratic form on \mathbb{R}^n .

We proof the Theorem (1.5.b) in the next three sections. The first assertion of the theorem (1.5.a), is essentially known. When $A = \mathbb{R}^n$ it is due to Beckner, [B1]. When A is a finite abelian group it can be found in [D-C-T, p1513]. The general case can be obtained by taking the left derivative of both sides of the inequality (4.1) below, at p = 2 (since (4.1) is an equality for p = 2). The assumption (1.5.*) assures that all the integrals we are going to consider converge, and that, in an appropriate context, we shall be able to reverse the order of differentiation and integration. These details are left to the reader.

2. The case A = B.

Let f be a minimizer for (1.5.b). Consider the following function

$$(2.1) F(z) = \int_{\hat{A}} (|f|^{2z} \frac{f}{|f|} \hat{j}(\hat{a}) |\hat{f}(\hat{a})|^{2z} \frac{\overline{\hat{f}(\hat{a})}}{|\hat{f}(\hat{a})|} d\hat{\alpha}(\hat{a}) (z \in \mathbb{C}, \ \frac{1}{2} \le Re(z) \le 1),$$

where $\frac{f}{|f|}=0$ outside the support of f, and similarly for $\frac{f}{|\hat{f}|}$. The integral (2.1) is absolutely convergent. Indeed, a straightforward application of Hölder's inequality and the Riesz-Thorin Theorem shows that for $\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $p = \frac{1}{x}$ and q defined by the equation

 $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ (with $q = \infty$ if p = 1), we have

$$(2.2) |F(x+iy)| \le ||(|f|^{2x+i2y} \frac{f}{|f|})||_q \cdot |||\hat{f}|^{2x+i2y}||_p \le ||f|^{2x+i2y}||_p \cdot |||\hat{f}|^{2x+i2y}||_p$$

$$= ||f||_2 \cdot ||\hat{f}||_2 = 1.$$

The function F is analytic in the open strip (2.1) and continuous in the closed strip. A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\begin{split} F'(z) &= \int_{\hat{A}} (|f|^{2z} \frac{f}{|f|} log(|f|^2) \hat{)}(\hat{a}) \, |\hat{f}(\hat{a})|^{2z} \frac{\overline{\hat{f}(\hat{a})}}{|\hat{f}(\hat{a})|} \, d\hat{\alpha}(\hat{a}) \\ &+ \int_{\hat{A}} (|f|^{2z} \frac{f}{|f|} \hat{)}(\hat{a}) \, |\hat{f}(\hat{a})|^{2z} \frac{\overline{\hat{f}(\hat{a})}}{|\hat{f}(\hat{a})|} log(|\hat{f}(\hat{a})|^2) \, d\hat{\alpha}(\hat{a}). \end{split}$$

Hence, by the Plancherel formula,

(2.3)
$$F'(\frac{1}{2}) = -H(|f|^2) - H(|\hat{f}|^2).$$

Since f is a minimizer, the right hand side of the equation (2.3) is zero. In particular Re F(z) is a real valued harmonic function, on the interior of the disc of radius $\frac{1}{4}$ centered at $z = \frac{3}{4}$, which achieves the maximum at $z = \frac{1}{2}$ and has derivative equal to zero at this point. Hence the Hopf's Maximum Principle, [H2, Theorem 3.1.6'], implies that Re F(z) = 1 on the disc. Hence, F(z) = 1 on the disc. In particular,

(2.4)
$$1 = F(1) = \int_{\hat{A}} (|f|\hat{f})(\hat{a})|\hat{f}(\hat{a})|\overline{f}(\hat{a})|\overline{f}(\hat{a})|\overline{f}(\hat{a})|$$

The formula (2.4) may be rewritten as

(2.5)
$$1 = \int_{\hat{A}} \int_{A} |f(a)|^{2} |\hat{f}(\hat{a})|^{2} \hat{a}(-a) \frac{f(a)}{|f(a)|} \frac{\overline{\hat{f}(\hat{a})}}{|\hat{f}(\hat{a})|} d\alpha(a) d\hat{\alpha}(\hat{a}).$$

Since,

$$1 = \int_{\hat{A}} \int_{A} |f(a)|^{2} |\hat{f}(\hat{a})|^{2} d\alpha(a) d\hat{\alpha}(\hat{a})$$

the equation (2.5) implies that (almost everywhere, with respect to the measure $\alpha \times \hat{\alpha}$), we have

(2.6)
$$1 = \hat{a}(-a)\frac{f(a)}{|f(a)|}\frac{\hat{f}(\hat{a})}{|\hat{f}(\hat{a})|} \quad (a \in supp \, f, \hat{a} \in supp \, \hat{f}).$$

Hence,

$$\hat{a}(-a) = \frac{\overline{f(a)}}{|f(a)|} \frac{\hat{f}(\hat{a})}{|\hat{f}(\hat{a})|}$$

Thus for $\hat{a} \in supp \hat{f}$

$$\hat{f}(\hat{a}) = \int_A f(a)\hat{a}(-a)\,d\alpha(a) = \int_A f(a)\frac{\overline{f(a)}}{|f(a)|}\,d\alpha(a)\frac{\hat{f}(\hat{a})}{|\hat{f}(\hat{a})|} = \parallel f \parallel_1 \frac{\hat{f}(\hat{a})}{|\hat{f}(\hat{a})|}.$$

Therefore

$$|\hat{f}(\hat{a})| = ||f||_1 \qquad (\hat{a} \in supp \, \hat{f}),$$

and similarly

$$|f(a)| = ||\hat{f}||_1 \qquad (a \in supp f).$$

The statement (2.7) implies that the function $|\hat{f}|$ is constant on its support. Since $||\hat{f}||_2 = 1$, the constant is equal to $\hat{\alpha}(supp \hat{f})^{-1/2}$. Hence,

$$H(|\hat{f}|^2) = log(\hat{\alpha}(supp \,\hat{f})).$$

Similarly

$$H(|f|^2) = log(\alpha(supp f)).$$

Since f is a minimizer,

$$\log(\alpha(\operatorname{supp} f) \cdot \hat{\alpha}(\operatorname{supp} \hat{f})) = 2\left(H(|f|^2) + H(|\hat{f}|^2)\right) = 0.$$

Therefore

(2.9)
$$\alpha(\operatorname{supp} f) \cdot \hat{\alpha}(\operatorname{supp} \hat{f}) = 1.$$

We may assume that $0 \in supp \hat{f}$ and $0 \in supp f$. Then (2.7) implies

$$\left| \int_{A} f(a) \, d\alpha(a) \right| = \int_{A} |f(a)| \, d\alpha(a).$$

Therefore there is $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $f = \lambda |f|$. Hence (2.7) may be rewritten as

(2.10)
$$\left| \int_A \lambda |f(a)| \hat{a}(-a) \, d\alpha(a) \right| = \int_A |f(a)| \, d\alpha(a) \quad (\hat{a} \in \operatorname{supp} \hat{f}).$$

Therefore

$$(2.11) supp \hat{f} \subseteq (-supp f)^{\perp},$$

where for a subset $S \subseteq A$, $S^{\perp} = \{\hat{a} \in \hat{A}; \ \hat{a}|_{S} = 1\}$. Similarly (2.8) implies

$$(2.12) supp f \subseteq (supp \hat{f})^{\perp}.$$

By dualizing (2.11) and (2.12) we deduce

$$-supp f \subseteq (-supp f)^{\perp \perp} \subseteq (supp \hat{f})^{\perp}, \text{ and}$$

$$supp \hat{f} \subseteq (supp \hat{f})^{\perp \perp} \subseteq (supp f)^{\perp}$$

But, as is well known (see (1.2)),

$$\hat{\alpha}((supp \,\hat{f})^{\perp}) \cdot \alpha((supp \,\hat{f})^{\perp \perp}) = 1.$$

By combining (2.9), (2.13) and (2.14) we see that the inclusions (2.13) are equalities (almost everywhere). In particular supp f is a subgroup of A and f is invariant under the translations by this subgroup. Thus f is a constant multiple of the indicator function of a subgroup of A, as claimed.

3. The case $A = \mathbb{R}^n$.

In this section we consider $A = \mathbb{R}^n$ and we identify \hat{A} with A via the formula

$$\hat{a}(b) = e^{2\pi i a \cdot b}$$
 $(a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n),$

where $a \cdot b = a_1b_1 + a_2b_2 + ... + a_nb_n$ is the usual dot product in \mathbb{R}^n . Then the Lebesgue measure dx serves as the Haar measure $d\alpha(x)$ and as the dual Haar measure $d\hat{\alpha}(x)$.

Our proof follows closely some arguments of Lieb, [L]. Let $F \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, and let

$$F(\hat{\xi}, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} F(x, y) \, dx \qquad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n)$$

denote the partial Fourier transform with respect to the first variable. Also, for convenience, let

$$F(\hat{\xi}, \hat{\eta}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2\pi i (\xi \cdot x + \eta \cdot y)} F(x, y) \, dx \, dy \qquad (\xi, \, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n)$$

denote the Fourier transform of F. From now on we assume that $||F||_2 = 1$. For 1 and for <math>q, defined by the equation $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, we deduce the following inequalities from [B1], as in [L, page 193]:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |F(\hat{\xi}, \hat{\eta})|^{q} d\xi d\eta$$

$$\leq (A_{p})^{nq} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |F(\hat{\xi}, y)|^{p} dy \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} d\xi$$

$$\leq (A_{p})^{nq} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |F(\hat{\xi}, y)|^{q} d\xi \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} dy \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}$$

$$\leq (A_{p})^{2nq} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |F(x, y)|^{p} dx dy \right)^{\frac{q}{p}},$$

where $A_p = \left(p^{\frac{1}{p}}/q^{\frac{1}{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the Babenko - Beckner constant, see [Ba] and [B1, page 162]. If p=2, all the inequalities in (3.1) are equalities. Hence, by taking the left derivative with respect to p, at p=2, we deduce the following inequalities:

$$(3.2) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |F(\hat{\xi}, \hat{\eta})|^{2} log(|F(\hat{\xi}, \hat{\eta})|) d\xi d\eta$$

$$\geq \frac{n}{2} log(\frac{e}{2}) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |F(\hat{\xi}, y)|^{2} dy \right) log \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |F(\hat{\xi}, y)|^{2} dy \right) d\xi$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |F(\hat{\xi}, y)|^{2} log(|F(\hat{\xi}, y)|) dy d\xi$$

$$\geq \frac{n}{2} log(\frac{e}{2}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |F(\hat{\xi}, y)|^{2} d\xi \right) log \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |F(\hat{\xi}, y)|^{2} d\xi \right) dy$$

$$- \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |F(\hat{\xi}, y)|^{2} log(|F(\hat{\xi}, y)|) dy d\xi$$

$$\geq n log(\frac{e}{2}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |F(x, y)|^{2} log(|F(x, y)|) dx dy.$$

Suppose the function F is a minimizer for $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Then (3.2) implies that the following equation holds

$$(3.3) \qquad -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F(\hat{\xi}, y)|^2 \log(|F(\hat{\xi}, y)|^2) d\xi d\eta$$

$$= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F(\hat{\xi}, y)|^2 dy \right) \log\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F(\hat{\xi}, y)|^2 dy \right) d\xi$$

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F(\hat{\xi}, y)|^2 d\xi \right) \log\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F(\hat{\xi}, y)|^2 d\xi \right) dy.$$

Hence, by Shannon, [S], the following equation holds almost everywhere on \mathbb{R}^{2n} :

(3.4)
$$|F(\hat{\xi}, y)|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F(\hat{\xi}, s)|^2 ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F(\hat{t}, y)|^2 dt.$$

The rest of the proof is straightforward. We reproduce an argument of Lieb in a concise form [L, pp 202, 203], for readers convenience.

Let f be a minimizer for the inequality (1.5.a) for \mathbb{R}^n , and let $g(y) = 2^{\frac{n}{4}}e^{-\pi y \cdot y}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the tensor product, f(x)g(y) is a minimizer for \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Since the rotation

$$\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (x,y) \to \left(\frac{x+y}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{x-y}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

leaves the Lebesgue measure invariant and commutes with the Fourier transform, the function

(3.5)
$$F(x,y) = f\left(\frac{x+y}{\sqrt{2}}\right)g\left(\frac{x-y}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$$

is also a minimizer for \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

A straightforward calculation shows that

(3.6)
$$F(\hat{\xi}, y) = 2^{\frac{n}{4}} e^{-\pi(\xi \cdot \xi + y \cdot y)} Q(\pi(y - i\xi)), \text{ where}$$

$$Q(w) = e^{-\frac{1}{\pi}w \cdot w} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}t \cdot t + 2w \cdot t} f\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}\right) dt \qquad (\xi, y, w \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

The function Q extends to an analytic function on \mathbb{C}^n . Clearly, there are finite constants c_1 and c_2 such that

$$(3.7) |Q(w)| \le c_1 e^{c_2|w|^2} (w \in \mathbb{C}^n).$$

Let $M(\xi, y) = Q(\pi(y - i\xi))$, and let $M^*(\xi, y) = \overline{M(\overline{\xi}, \overline{y})}$, $\xi, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then M and M^* are analytic functions on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ and

$$M(\xi, y)M^*(\xi, y) = |F(\hat{\xi}, y)|^2 2^{-n/2} e^{2\pi(\xi \cdot \xi + y \cdot y)} \qquad (\xi, y \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Hence, by (3.4), there are functions M_1 , M_2 such that

(3.8)
$$M(\xi, y)M^*(\xi, y) = M_1(\xi)M_2(y) \qquad (\xi, y \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

It is easy to see that the functions M_1 and M_2 extend to analytic functions on \mathbb{C}^n and that the equation (3.8) holds for all $\xi, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$. The zero set of the left hand side of (3.8) is the union of sets of the form

$$\{(\xi,y)\in\mathbb{C}^n\times\mathbb{C}^n;\ y-i\xi=z\},\ \{(\xi,y)\in\mathbb{C}^n\times\mathbb{C}^n;\ y+i\xi=z\} \qquad (z\in\mathbb{C}^n).$$

On the other hand, the zero set of the right hand side of (3.8) is the union of sets of the form

$$\{\xi\} \times \mathbb{C}^n, \ \mathbb{C}^n \times \{y\} \qquad (\xi, y \in \mathbb{C}^n).$$

Since the function (3.8) is not identically equal to zero we see from the above, that it has no zeros. Thus the function $F(\hat{\xi}, y)$ has no zeros. Therefore the function

$$\mathbb{C}^n \ni \xi \to log(F(\hat{\xi}, 0)) \in \mathbb{C}$$

is well defined, analytic, and satisfies the following estimate

$$|log(F(\hat{\xi},0))| \le log(c_1) + c_2|\xi|^2 \qquad (\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n).$$

Hence, by the Cauchy estimate, there is a symmetric matrix \mathcal{A} with complex entries, a vector $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and a number $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$log(F(\hat{\xi}, 0)) = \xi^t \mathcal{A}\xi + \mathcal{B} \cdot \xi + \mathcal{C} \qquad (\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n).$$

Therefore

$$F(\hat{\xi}, 0) = e^{\xi^t \mathcal{A}\xi + \mathcal{B}\cdot \xi + \mathcal{C}} \qquad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Since the above function is integrable, the matrix \mathcal{A} is real and positive definite. Hence, by Fourier inversion, the function F(x,0) is a translation and a modulation of a Gaussian. Since $F(x,0) = f(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}})g(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}})$, we see that f is also a translation and a modulation of a Gaussian.

4. The general case.

In this section $A = \mathbb{R}^n \times B$, where B contains an open compact subgroup. Let β be a Haar measure on the group B, and let $\hat{\beta}$ be the dual Haar measure on the dual group

 \hat{B} . Then $dxd\beta(b)$ is a Haar measure on A and $dxd\hat{\beta}(\hat{b})$ is the dual Haar measure on $\hat{A} = \mathbb{R}^n \times \hat{B}$.

Notice that by Riesz-Thorin, Beckner and Minkowski we have for a suitable function f (with 1 and <math>q defined by $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\hat{B}} |\hat{f}(\hat{b}, \xi)|^q d\hat{\beta}(\hat{b}) d\xi \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{B} |f(b, \hat{\xi})|^p d\beta(b) \right)^{q/p} d\xi
\leq \left(\int_{B} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(b, \hat{\xi})|^q d\xi \right)^{p/q} d\beta(b) \right)^{q/p} \leq (A_p)^{nq} \left(\int_{B} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(b, x)|^p dx d\beta(b) \right)^{q/p}.$$

Therefore,

$$(4.1) \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\hat{B}} |\hat{f}(\hat{b}, \xi)|^q d\hat{\beta}(\hat{b}) d\xi \le (A_p)^{nq} \left(\int_{B} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(b, x)|^p dx d\beta(b) \right)^{q/p}.$$

Hence, the argument used to prove (3.4) shows that if F is a minimizer for $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times B$ then

$$(4.2) |F(\hat{\xi}, y, b)|^2 = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{B} |F(\hat{\xi}, y_1, b_1)|^2 d\beta(b_1) dy_1 \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F(\hat{\xi}_1, y, b)|^2 d\xi_1 \right)$$

$$(b \in B; \ \xi, y \in \mathbb{R}^n),$$

and if f is a minimizer for A, then for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, b \in B$ and $\hat{b} \in \hat{B}$,

$$(4.3) |f(\hat{\xi},b)|^2 = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(\hat{\xi}_1,b)|^2 d\xi_1\right) \left(\int_B |f(\hat{\xi},b_1)|^2 d\beta(b_1)\right) |f(x,\hat{b})|^2 = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x_1,\hat{b})|^2 dx_1\right) \left(\int_{\hat{B}} |f(x,\hat{b}_1)|^2 d\hat{\beta}(b_1)\right),$$

where $f(x, \hat{b})$ stands for the Fourier transform of f with respect to the second variable. Let f be a minimizer for A and let $g(y) = 2^{\frac{n}{4}}e^{-\pi y \cdot y}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $F(x, y, b) = f(\frac{x+y}{\sqrt{2}}, b)g(\frac{x-y}{\sqrt{2}})$ is a minimizer for A. As in section 3, we deduce from (4.2) that there are matrix valued function A and B such that

(4.4)
$$f(\hat{\xi}, b) = e^{-\xi^t \mathcal{A}(b)\xi - \mathcal{B}(b) \cdot \xi} h(b) \qquad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, b \in B)$$

But then (4.3) implies that the functions \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are constant. Hence f is a tensor product of a translation and a modulation of a Gaussian and a function h on B. By normalizing the Gaussian we may assume that $||h||_2 = 1$. Then it is easy to see that h is a minimizer for the group B, and therefore has the desired form, by the results of section 2.

REFERENCES

- [A-J] P. Ahern and R. Jewett, Factorization of locally compact abelian groups, Illinois J. Math. 9 (1965), 230–235.
- [Ba] K. I. Babenko, An Inequality in the Theory of Fourier Integrals, Izv. Acad. Nauk SSSR **25** (1965), 531–542.
- [B1] W. Beckner, *Inequalities in Fourier Analysis*, Annals of Mathematics **102 Number 6** (1975), 159–182.
- [B2] W. Beckner, Pitt's Inequality and the Uncertainty Principle, Proceedings of the AMS 123 (1995), 1897–1905.
- [D] I. Daubechies, *Ten Lectures on Wavelets*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1992.
- [D-O-P] V. DeBrunner, M. Özaydın and T. Przebinda, Analysis in a Finite Time-Frequency Plane, to appear in IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 2000.
- [D-C-T] A. Dembo, T. M. Cover and J. A. Thomas, *Information Theoretic Inequalities*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory **37** (1991), 1501–21518.
- [D-S] D. L. Donoho and P. B. Stark, *Uncertainty Principles and Signal Recovery*, SIAM Journal of Applied Mathematics **49** (1989), 906–931.
- [Hi] I. I. Hirschman, Jr., A Note on Entropy, Amer. J. Math. 79 (1957), 152–156.
- [H-R] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, Abstract Harmonic Analysis, Springer Verlag, 1963.
- [H1] L. Hörmander, The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators, I, Springer Verlag, 1983.
- [H2] L. Hörmander, Notions of Convexity, Birkhäuser, 1994.
- [L] E. H. Lieb, Gaussian Kernels Have Only Gaussian Maximizers, Invent. Math. 102 (1990), 179–208.
- [M-Ö-P] E. Matusiak, M. Özaydın and T. Przebinda, The Donoho Stark Uncertainty Principle for a Finite Abelian Group, preprint.
- [Ö-P 0] M. Özaydın and T. Przebinda, *Platonic Orthonormal Wavelets*, Appeared Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis **4** (1997), 351–365.
- [Ö-P] M. Özaydın and T. Przebinda, Minimizers for Beckner's Inequality, preprint.
- [P-D-O] T. Przebinda, V. DeBrunner and M.Özaydın, The Optimal Transform for the Discrete Hirschman Uncertainty Principle, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 47 (2001), 2086–2090.
- [S] C. E. Shannon, A Mathematical Theory of Communication, The Bell System Technical Journal 27 (1948), 379–656.
- [W] A. Weil, Basic Number Theory, Springer Verlag, 1973.